CMAP FY 2016-2020 CMAQ PROJECT APPLICATION TRANSIT PROJECTS

I. PROJECT IDENTIFICAT	TION				
Project Sponsor Chicago Transit Authority			Contact Informa Phone, e-mail (e	tion – Name, Title, A -mail required)	gency, Address,
Other Agencies Participating In P Chicago Department of Transp			Phone 312-681-	reet Chgo, Il 60661 4108 Fax 312-681-4	197
X New Project	☐ New Proj	ect	E-mail <u>Ifedak(a</u>	transitchicago.com	
☐ Existing CMAQ Project	dr. D. 2700	CMAQ Project	(Project Manag	er Jennifer Henry/J	onathan
☐ Add CMAQ to Existing Proje	ct 🔲 Add CM	AQ to Existing Project		•	
II. PROJECT LOCATION	•	readily identified by lo sufficient to accurate	•		of this section
Name Of Street Or Facility To Be Segments of Multiple bus router for initial concepts.		I Final Report (atta	Marked Rot ched) Belmont A Western A	ve., 79 th St., Chicago A ve.	
Project Limits: North/West Reference Multiple; please see Phase I Fin	al Report (attache	d) for initial concept	St., Chicag Ashland A' Western A	ve., 79 th Chicago, o Ave., ve., ve.	
Project Limits: South/East Reference I Multiple; please see Phase I Fin			Marked Ro S. Belmont A St., Chicag Ashland A Western A	ve., 79 th Chicago, o Ave., ve.,	lity & County Cook
Other Project Location Information	on Or Project Title:	Bus Slow Zone Elim			
III. PROJECT FINANCING	6 & CMAQ FUN	NDING REQUEST	Please revie	w the instructions.	
	Starting Federal		(New) CMAQ		leral Funds r CMAQ awards
	Fiscal Year*	Total Phase Costs	Funds Requested	Fund Type	Fund Amount
Engineering Phase 1	2014	\$41,420	\$0		\$
Engineering Phase 2		\$	\$		\$
Right-Of-Way Acquisition		\$	\$		\$
Construction (Including Construction Engineering)		\$	\$		\$
Engineering (For Implementation Projects)		\$	\$		\$
Implementation	2016	\$24,958,580	\$20,000,000		\$
Alternatives Analysis		\$	\$		\$
*Phase must be accomplished wit	hin 3 years	625 000 000	620 000 000		
Т	otal Project Costs	\$25,000,000	\$20,000,000		
Source Of Local Matching Funds		\$41,420 of CTA Op Report. An additio to reach the 20% lo	nal \$4,958,580 of C cal match of \$5,000	TA Operating Fund ,000.	
If So	nt Matching Funds	Are Intended To Be U	sed, Please Contact	UMAP Staff.	

Have the Matching Funds Been Secured? (Provide Details):	Yes

CMAP FY 2016-2020 CMAQ PROJECT APPLICATION TRANSIT PROJECTS – PAGE 2

IV. PROJECT EMISSIONS BENEFI	T DATA	
Project Type (Check One): Facility Impro	ovement Service And Equipment Acc	ess to Transit
Auto Trips Eliminated Per Day (Round Trips		
	· 	
	ed, it is estimated that the project would re	sult in aggregate travel time savings for
existing bus riders of 3,184 hours/day.		
Length Of Auto Trips Eliminated (One-Way	Miles To The Nearest Tenth): Ashland Ave.:	2.8 miles; Western Ave.: 3.0 miles;
Belmont Ave.: 2.2 miles; 79th St.: 2.2 miles	Chicago Ave. 1.9 miles	
Auto Trips Diverted Per Day (Round Trips):	<u>N/A</u>	
Line-Haul Length Of Diverted Trips (One-W	ay Miles To The Nearest Tenth): N/A	
Project Life (Years): <u>20</u>		
Provide basis for parameters used to estimate	benefits (e.g., new ridership, auto occupancy,	trip length. See instructions):
Please see Bus Slow Zone Emissions Benef	it Coloulations (attached)	
riease see dus Siow Zone Emissions Denei	n Calculations (attached).	
SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS		
On-Time Performance - Route to be Improve	d:	
Percent on-time 2014:		
W0 4 11. 1 4		
#9 Ashland Ave 61% #49 Western Ave 60%		
#77 Belmont Ave 64%		
#79 79 th St 60%		
#66 Chicago Ave 61%		
System-Wide:		
Reliability Enhancements (Check All that Ap	oply):	
Rail	Bus	☐ Transit signal priority
☐ New Vehicles	☐ New Vehicles	☑ Multi-Door Boarding with Off-board
☐ Upgraded Switches	☑ Queue Jump/Bypass Lanes	Fare Collection
☐ Upgraded Power Supply	☑ Off-board Fare Collection	☐ Bus-on-Shoulders
☐ Positive Train Control	☑ Reduced Stops/Express Service	☐ Managed Lanes
☐ Station Consolidation	☐ New Dispatching/Decision Support	☑ Dedicated Bus Way
☐ Track Improvements	Systems	□ Far-side Stops
☐ Reduction of Freight/Vehicle/Pedestrian	☑ Passenger Vehicle Movement	☑ Bus Stop Upgrades
Interference	Restrictions	☑ Near Level Boarding
FACILITIES/CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS		
	RTA): N/A; the asset is the City of Chicago	roadway network and is not evaluated
under RTA's rating system.		
Description and Location of Service (For Equ	inpment Purchases): N/A	
Net Number Of New Vehicle Parking Spaces	: 0 Net Number Of New Bicycle Parking Sp	aces: 0
V. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT IN	FORMATION	
Is right-of-way acquisition required for this p	roject? Yes No	
If so, has right-of-way been acquired?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Not Begun	

Estimated Completion Year/Start Of Service: 2018

VI. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Please describe project.

The proposed Bus Slow Zone Elimination Program is a set of targeted solutions for key chokepoints and problem areas along a network of five high ridership bus routes throughout the city. These five routes (#49, #9, #77, #79, #66) currently experience low speeds and unreliable travel times due to congestion and roadway conditions. Together they serve approximately 135,000 boardings with 1,700 trips per weekday. About half of this ridership occurs during peak hours, when congestion is at its worst. The project would improve transit service for these bus riders, resulting in an estimated aggregate transit travel time savings of 3,184 hours per day. It is also estimated to attract an additional 3,633 roundtrip transit rides through higher speeds and better reliability.

A Phase I analysis was conducted by CTA Planning staff in late 2014 and completed in January 2015. This included analysis of speed and reliability data to identify problem "slow zone" segments of the routes, and this was supplemented by field observations on all five routes to confirm the data and identify the source(s) of delay for each slow zone. CTA Planning staff then developed a set of potential solutions tailored specifically to each slow zone, depending on the street and traffic conditions impacting the performance of these routes.

The proposed solutions generally include one or more of the following components: short segments of dedicated bus lanes; queue jumps; traffic signal retiming; additional turn phase signals; TSP; improved striping and/or signage for traffic flow and parking restrictions; optimization of stop placement (farside/nearside); stop space standardization; and expedited boarding strategies for high volume stops. The scope of the request includes detailed engineering and implementation of these components, which would be performed in close coordination with CDOT.

Similar to CTA's strategy of eliminating rail slow zones, this project has the potential to be phased in as a series of incremental and cost-effective improvements. The approach would minimize impacts to general traffic, demonstrate successful strategies that can be scaled up and considered for other routes, and can be forwarded even under constrained fiscal conditions. As service is improved and ridership grows, additional improvements can be added.

CTA has reviewed the CMAQ scoring criteria and estimates that this project earns 38.5 points. This includes 15 points for ridership increase, 13.5 points for reliability (6 points for current on-time performance and 7.5 points for reliability-enhancing features), and 10 points for transit-supportive land use.

Estimation of Project Impacts/Benefits Description

ridership corresponds to a diversion of 2,026 auto trips to transit. Additional findings include an aggregate average passenger transit roundtrips across the five routes. This calculation is based on travel time elasticity factors related to the proposed bus improvements. Midpoint arc elasticity formulas were used in this calculation, which yielded similar results to other elasticity-It is estimated that the implementation of the proposed bus improvements will result in a ridership increase of 2,633 daily based methods. Next, based on the occupancy figure of 1.3 occupants/auto vehicle, this 3.9% increase in daily roundtrip travel time savings of 3,183.6 hours/day based on existing ridership and average trip distances for the five routes.

Practitioners Guide, 2007), travel time savings directly related to the implementation of each of the proposed bus improvements standard estimation methods and metrics. Using bus travel time savings metrics published in TCRP Report 118 (Bus Rapid Transit evaluation of changes in ridership and, ultimately, the number of auto trips diverted as a result of improved bus service for each (transit priority treatments, stop consolidation, and right-of-way improvements) were calculated for each route. Next, the Based on existing CTA ridership (November 2014) and route characteristics, these impacts were calculated using industry aggregate of each improvement's impact on base ridership was estimated using travel time elasticities, allowing for an of the five routes.

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED IMPACTS/BENEFITS (see Calculation of Benefits tab for more details and calculations)

Route	ec.	Route Travel Time (min.)	÷		Route speeds (mph)	-3	Average	Average passenger travel time (min.)*		Aggregate.travel time savings (hours)**		Ridership		Auto trips diverted***
	Existing	w/ Improvements	% Change	Existing	w/ Improvements	% Change	Existing	w/ Improvements	Change	The second property of	Existing	w/ Improvements	% Change	11
49	103.4	87.8	-15.1%	9.4	11.1	17.8%	18.0	15.3	-2.7	1,191.9	13,165	14,054	%8.9	684
99	79.5	76.2	-4.1%	7.3	7.6	4.3%	13.8	13.3	9.0-	257.4	13,563	13,793	1.7%	177
77	70.8	0.79	-5.3%	9.7	10.2	2.6%	12.4	11.8	-0.7	273.2	12,370	12,644	2.2%	211
79	76.5	72.0	-5.9%	8.5	0.6	6.3%	14.0	13.1	-0.8	382.9	13,876	14,219	2.5%	264
6	109.3	94.2	-13.8%	9.6	11.1	16.1%	16.0	13.8	-2.2	1,078.2	14,628	15,525	6.1%	069
									Total	3,183.6	67,602	70,235	3.9%	2,026

^{*} Calculated using average trip distances (provided)

^{**} Based on existing daily ridership for entire route

^{***} Based on new roundtrip transit riders added and 1.3 occupants/auto

EXISTING CONDITIONS

ge travel	18.0	13.8	12.4	14.0	16.0	=
Existing average travel time (min.)						
Trip longth inc. walking approach (mi)	3.0	1.9	2.2	22	2.8	
	2.8	11	2.0	2.0	2.6	
Baso Ridership Average Trip (roundtrips) Length (mi.)	13,165	13,563	12,370	13,876	14,628	67,602
Base Ridership (daily Oct14)	26,329	27,126	24,740	27,752	29,256	135,203
Fotal stops Stops/mile	8	7	8	6	8	TOTAL
s,	123	72	8	96	42	
otal stop					1	
	9.4	7.3	9.7	8.5	9.6	
Route Length Average Speed _ (mi.)	16.3 9.4	9.6 7.3	11.4 9.7	10.9 8.5	17.4	
		79.5 9.6 7.3	70.8 11.4 9.7	76.5 10.9 8.5	1093 17.4 9.6	

"Added 0.1 miles for walking approach on each and of all one way tipe (0.2 miles total per tipe, 0.4 miles per rounding. According to the 2014 Customer Satisfaction Survey, 88% of customers wells to get to their first CTA bus stop of trein stabon while 94% wellk from their last CTA kay or trein stabon while 94% wellk from their last CTA kay or trein station to get to their first destination.

INPUTS/ASSUMPTIONS FOR BENEFITS/IMPACTS ESTIMATION

Travel time reduction from queue jump(s)		•
Travel time reduction from TSP (%	o d	*
reduction)**	10%	
Travel time reduction curb bus lanes		
(min/mile)***	1.1	:
Travel time elasticity factor***	-0.4	1
Occupants per Vehicle	来表现"404.3	

TCRP Report 118 p. S-9 Exhibit S-2 * TCRP Report 118 p. 4-32

*** TCRP Report 118 p. 3-19 Exhibit 3-19 ** TCRP Report 118 p. S-9 Exhibit S-2

BENEFITS/IMPACTS ESTIMATES (see inputs/assumptions)

ĺ					Route & Pas	Passenger Impacts	pacts				Rider	Ridership Estimates		Trips Diverted
		From Improver	ments (min.)		N November	Routewide	Arm on the	No.	Average Passe	nger		Ī		
Route	*dune jumps*	TSP**	Bus Lanes***	Stops****	Bus Lanes*** Stops**** Savings (min.) Time (min.) Speed (mph)	New Travel Time (min.)	New Bus Speed (mph)	New average / travel time t (min.)^	Average travel time savings min.)	Aggregate travel time (roundtrips)** Roundtrips Change aavings (hrs.)**	New Ridership New (added) Ridership (roundtrips)** Roundtrips	New (added) Roundtrips	Ridership Change	Auto Trips Diverted^^^
6	1.6	10.3	1.8	1.9	15.6	87.8	11.1	15.3	2.7	1,191.9	14,054	889	6.8%	684
99	1.0	8.0	1.1	1.2	3.3	76.2	7.6	13.3	90	257.4	13,793	230	1.7%	177
77	1.1	7.1	1.3	1.4	3.8	0.79	102	11.8	0.7	273.2	12,644	274	2.2%	211
8	1.1	7.7	1.2	2.3	4.5	72.0	06	13.1	0.8	382.9	14,219	343	2.5%	264
6	1.7	10.9	1.9	0.5	15.1	94.2	111	13.8	2.2	1,078.2	15,525	897	6.1%	690
				2		A TOTAL ST	1000	5	Total	3,183.6	70,235	2,633	3.9%	2,026

* Assumes one queue jump/bypass per mile of corndor

" Only counted for Ashland and Western

*** Average bus lane implementation of 10% of corridor

*** Represents consolidating stops to every 1/8 mile (from average of 1/8-mile). Assumes orignal evelrage dwell time of 15 seconds and new dwell time of 20 seconds to account for additional passengers at boarding.

" Midpoint Arc Elasticity forumla used

^ Calculated using average trip distances (provided)
^^ Based on existing daily oneway ridership for entire route
^^^ Based on 1 3 occupants per vehicle assumption

CTA On-time Performance Metrics (DEFINITION)

On-time is defined as: the actual departure of a bus from a stop is no more than 1 minute early than the scheduled departure time and no more than 5 minutes late than the scheduled departure time for non-terminal stops, and no more than 2 minutes late than the scheduled departure time for terminal stops.

